## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**FILED** 

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AUG 03 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

INDERJIT KAUR,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 05-76541

Agency No. A095-591-539

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 29, 2009\*\*

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Inderjit Kaur, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order summarily affirming an immigration judge's decision denying her application for asylum, withholding of removal, and relief

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

under the Convention Against Torture. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence and will uphold the agency's decision unless the evidence compels a contrary conclusion. *Sidhu v. INS*, 220 F.3d 1085, 1088 (9th Cir. 2000). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Kaur presented insufficient evidence to meet her burden of proof for establishing relief. See 8 C.F.R. § 208.13(a) (placing the burden of proof on the applicant); see also Sidhu, 220 F.3d at 1090 ("[I]f the trier of fact either does not believe the applicant or does not know what to believe, the applicant's failure to corroborate his testimony can be fatal to his asylum application").

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.